## **GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji -Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437880/2437208 email: <a href="mailto:spio-gsic.goa@nic.in">spio-gsic.goa@nic.in</a>

## website:www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Shri, Atmaram R. Barve

State Information Commissioner

Appeal No. 458/2023/SIC

Siddhesh V. Kadam, 51, Defence Colony, Alto Porvorim Goa.

....Appellant

V/s

1. The Public Information Officer (PIO), Entertainment Society of Goa, Panaji-Goa 403001.

2. The First Appellate Authority (FAA), Entertainment Society of Goa, Panaji-Goa 403001.

...... Respondents

Filed on: 21/12/2023 Disposed on: 05/12/2024

## <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The present second appeal arises out of Right To Information application dated 06/09/2023 addressed to the Public Information Officer (PIO) of the Entertainment Society of Goa by the Appellant herein.
- 2. Vide communication dated 25/09/2023 the PIO issued response to the Appellant herein.
- 3. Aggrieved by this response the Appellant herein preferred the First Appeal dated 03/10/2023.
- 4. Vide order dated 13/11/2023 the First Appellate Authority (FAA) disposed off the First Appeal by directing the PIO to provide information pertaining to point No. 1, 2 and 3 of the original Right To Information (RTI) application within 15 days of the said order.
- 5. Aggrieved by the order of the first Appellate Authority the Appellant herein preferred the second appeal on

21/12/2023. Notices were issued and proceedings commenced from 13/02/2024 onwards.

- 6. In the meantime the former State Information Commissioner demitted office and regular proceedings commenced from 25/09/2024 in this matter.
- 7. It has been noted that during the entire course of this second appeal the Appellant herein has not made even a single appearance and vide application dated 21/10/2024 the Appellant has sought to withdraw the second Appeal.
- 8. This Commission has taken strong congnegence of the conduct of the Appellant herein wherein he has shown utter disregard towards the proceedings before this Commission and has caused wastage of time of this Commission as well as wastage of resources in so far as causing the appearance of the PIO for the proceedings of this second appeal.
- 9. The Appellant is cautioned; not to repeat such a conduct of wasting the time and efforts of the PIO as well as Appellate Authorities constituted under the Right To Information Act, 2005
- 10. Therefore, the present second appeal stands dismissed.

Pronounced in the open court.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any, may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sd/-

(Atmaram R. Barve)
State Information Commissioner